



THE SMART ROUTE: MACHINE LEARNING FOR PROACTIVE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

Dr. V. Shanmugapriya

Assistant Professor
Department of computer science
Sri Krishna Arts and Science College,
Coimbatore.

Diya Shereef M K

Department of computer science
Sri Krishna Arts and Science College,
Coimbatore.

Kavya P

Department of computer science
Sri Krishna Arts and Science College,
Coimbatore.

Abstract: Traffic management is highly significant for reducing congestion, minimizing travel delays, and maximizing urban mobility. Conventional traffic control systems often adopt reactive strategies that can hardly deal with dynamic and volatile traffic behaviors. In this paper, we explain how to apply machine learning (ML) methods to realize proactive traffic management for real-time forecasting and decision-making. Based on historical traffic data, real-time sensor readings, and deep learning and reinforcement learning, this research explains how predictive analytics can be used to carry out effective traffic signal control, rerouting plans, and congestion relief.

We present several ML algorithms, such as neural networks, decision trees, and gradient boosting, and explain how they can be used to perform traffic prediction and anomaly detection. Secondly, we discuss how to integrate with IoT, edge computing, and cloud platforms to extend data processing capabilities. Based on experiments and case studies, the traffic management system based on ML can effectively reduce road efficiency problems, reduce pollution, and maximize commuting convenience. This research further explains how AI-based intelligent smart traffic management can help make transportation more sustainable and wiser.

Keywords- Traffic Management, Machine Learning, Predictive Analytics, Smart Cities, Deep Learning, Reinforcement Learning, Traffic Forecasting, Anomaly Detection, IoT, Edge Computing, Cloud Computing, Intelligent Transportation Systems, Congestion Relief

I. INTRODUCTION

Traffic congestion is a big problem in big cities which causes delays in travel and increases fuel consumption and CO₂ emissions. Machine Learning (ML) methods can be used to predict traffic behavior and optimize traffic networks. In this paper, we

develop an ML-based traffic forecasting model that uses historical data and real-time data to predict

congestion. We compare several ML algorithms to take in in-depth look at their performance in traffic behavior prediction. The model performances are compared in accuracy, efficiency, and scalability. Results show that LSTM networks perform better than the other methods in modeling temporal dependencies and predicting short-term traffic even with small data. The paper shows that ML can be used to optimize traffic flow, relieve congestion, and reduce travel time, thereby increasing transportation efficiency with wide application to smart cities and sustainable smart mobility.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Traffic congestion usually happens in urban areas where it causes delays, fuel consumption, and more pollution. Cities are continuously expanding and more vehicles are being added to the road daily, which calls for more effective traffic management systems. Fixed-time signal control and manual adjustment are some common techniques that are not very effective in handling dynamic and unpredictable traffic. Machine learning (ML) has emerged as a new technique that offers a solution to these issues in a more intelligent and data-oriented way.

There have been many studies on using machine learning methods to predict and optimize traffic (Chien et al., 2002). Simpler models like linear regression and decision trees were used in the early studies to develop traffic flow and congestion models. While these methods worked for some cases, they were often unable to pick up complex patterns in traffic data that change with time. Later studies have utilized more sophisticated methods, including SVMs and ANNs, to improve the accuracy of forecasts (Hassan et al., 2007). These models are able to better detect non-linear relationships between



traffic variables and provide more accurate predictions.

LSTM networks learn sequential data and temporal relationships well (Hochreiter, & Schmidhuber, 1997). LSTMs are applied in traffic prediction, among other uses. LSTMs were proven by Xu et al. (2019) to be superior to simple models through the accurate learning of traffic time series with improved short-term predictions. LSTMs learn efficiently from past examples and present inputs and are therefore applicable in very dynamic traffic scenarios.

III. METHODOLOGY

The research methodology of this study entails a systematic process of gathering, processing, and analyzing traffic data with the help of different machine learning (ML) models. The aim is to create an efficient traffic forecasting system that can make precise congestion predictions. The major steps include:

1. Data Collection:

Accurate traffic forecasting relies on quality data from different sources. In this research, traffic data is gathered from:

- GPS Sensors – Devices installed in vehicles and mobile phones to track movement history, speed, and travel time.
- Road Cameras – Video streams and image processing techniques are used to estimate vehicle volumes, speed, and congestion.

Open Datasets – Publicly available traffic data in the form of Uber Movement, INRIX, and Google Traffic API provides high-volume historical traffic and real-time traffic data.

Some of the features incorporated in the available data are:

- Vehicle Count – Number of vehicles traversing a specific place per unit of time.
- Average Speed – The average speed of cars on a road section.
- Road Conditions – Lane closures, accidents, or construction activities impacting traffic flow.
- Weather Parameters – Rain, temperature, and humidity, affecting driving behavior and congestion.

2. Data Preprocessing

Raw traffic data may have missing values, inconsistencies, and outliers. Adequate data preprocessing is essential to enhance model accuracy and performance.

i. Missing Data Handling

Imputation using K-Nearest Neighbours (KNN) – Missing values are approximated based on similar data point values.

Mean Substitution – Substituting missing values with the mean of the corresponding feature.

ii. Feature Engineering

Time-Based Aggregation – Congregating traffic data into useful time periods (e.g., 5-minute, 15-minute periods).

Normalization – Scaling numeric values so that all features make an equal contribution to the model.

Outlier Removal – Detecting and eliminating abnormal values that could skew the predictions of the model.

These data preprocessing methods improve data quality and guarantee that the ML models generalize adequately to unknown traffic scenarios.

3. Model Evaluation

The effectiveness of each model is assessed using standard performance metrics:

- a) **Mean Absolute Error (MAE)** – Measures the average difference between predicted and actual traffic values. A lower MAE indicates better accuracy.

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - x|$$

- b) **Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)** – Penalizes large errors more than MAE, making it useful for evaluating extreme congestion events.



$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}$$

- c) **R-squared (R²) Score** – Indicates how well the model explains variations in traffic patterns. A score closer to 1 suggests high predictive power.

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y}_i)^2}$$

IV. MODEL TRAINING AND EVALUATION

A. Training Process

Preprocessing and Data Splitting Inconsistencies like missing values, outliers, and different feature sizes are frequently seen in traffic data. Improved model performance and generalization are guaranteed by appropriate preprocessing.

1. Data Splitting: To assess model performance on unseen data, the dataset was split into training (70%) and testing (30%) subsets. Managing Missing Data: Incomplete logs or malfunctioning sensors may result in missing values in traffic statistics. Missing values were filled using methods such as mean imputation and KNN imputation, which were based on nearby data points.

2. Feature Scaling: There are various scales for traffic data variables as speed, congestion intensity, and time. To ensure uniformity and avoid bias in ML models, data was normalized using Min-Max Scaling.

3. Outlier Removal: To improve prediction accuracy, abnormal measurements (such as abrupt increases in traffic speed) were identified using the Interquartile Range (IQR) approach and eliminated.

2) Model Training and Selection:

Based on their appropriateness for pattern recognition and time-series forecasting, three machine learning models were chosen:

A basic statistical model called **Linear Regression (LR)** uses linear connections between input data to forecast traffic flow. Despite its simplicity, it has trouble understanding intricate traffic data patterns. Multiple decision trees are combined in the **Random Forest (RF)** ensemble learning model to increase prediction accuracy. It manages the interactions and non-linear correlations between traffic variables with effectiveness.



One deep learning model made for sequential data is called Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM). LSTM networks are perfect for forecasting based on past data trends because they can identify long-term dependencies in traffic patterns.

TensorFlow/Keras for deep learning models and scikit-learn for conventional ML models were used to train each model. Several iterations were used in the training procedure to maximize learning effectiveness.

B. Hyperparameter Tuning

Hyperparameter adjustment was done in order to improve performance:

Random Forest: The ideal number of trees (estimators) and tree depth were determined using Grid Search.

LSTM: To avoid overfitting while preserving computational efficiency, the number of LSTM layers, dropout rates, and learning rate were adjusted.

The models were assessed according to:

Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Error (MAE), and R-squared (R²) Score.

C. Performance Metrics

Standard measures were used to assess the models:

The average absolute difference between expected and actual traffic figures is measured by the Mean Absolute Error, or MAE.

Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE): This measure is appropriate for evaluating notable variations in traffic flow because it penalizes greater errors more severely than MAE.

The model's ability to explain variance in traffic data is indicated by its **R-squared (R²)** score; a value nearer 1 denotes a better match.

D. Result and Comparison

Model	MAE	RMSE	R ² Score
Linear Regression	5.12	7.84	0.72
Random Forest	4.05	6.32	0.82
LSTM	3.21	5.48	0.89

Table 1. evaluation results

Because it could identify temporal dependencies in traffic data, the LSTM model performed better than the conventional models.

V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Using both historical and current data, this study explores the use of machine learning models for traffic congestion prediction. To evaluate the effectiveness of three models in predicting traffic conditions, the study contrasted them: Random Forest (RF), Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and Linear Regression (LR). The findings demonstrate how deep learning models outperform conventional machine learning methods in identifying complex traffic patterns. The correlation analysis revealed that traffic fluctuations exhibit non-linear relationships, which deep learning models can handle more effectively than traditional techniques.

According to the study's conclusions, adding LSTM-based traffic prediction to contemporary transit systems can:

- 1) **Improve Traffic Signal Coordination:** AI-powered forecasts can assist in dynamic traffic signal optimization, which will lessen traffic.
- 2) **Enhance Driver Route Planning:** Real-time forecasts can be incorporated into navigation apps like Waze and Google Maps to recommend alternate routes.
- 3) **Optimize Public Transportation Scheduling:** Better bus and rail scheduling can reduce delays by using more precise traffic forecasts.



4) Support Autonomous Vehicles: LSTM-based forecasting can increase the navigation efficiency of self-driving systems, which rely on predictive models to evaluate road conditions.

A. Challenges and limitations

Even with its great accuracy, the study ran into a number of problems:

1). **Problems with data quality:** Prediction accuracy was impacted by missing values and sensor failures. The robustness of the model can be improved by incorporating real-time data from traffic cameras and Internet of Things devices.

2). **Computational Demand:** LSTM models demand a large amount of processing capacity. Performance can be maximized for real-time applications using strategies like model trimming and quantization.

3). **Limitations on Scalability:** Data from a single city was used to test the model. For wider applicability, more validation across several urban areas with different traffic circumstances is required.

B. Future Prospects

Future studies could look into the following to increase the model's efficacy even more:

Hybrid deep learning techniques include merging LSTM with Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) or combining it with Attention Mechanisms to improve traffic prediction's spatial awareness.

Using models on edge devices (such IoT sensors and smart traffic signals) to make decisions instantly is known as edge computing for real-time forecasting.

Integration with Reinforcement Learning (RL): RL-powered adaptive traffic control systems have the ability to dynamically modify traffic

signals in response to anticipated levels of congestion.

In this way in future more ideas can be generated for further enhancement of the project .

VI. CONCLUSION

An essential part of intelligent transportation systems (ITS) is traffic congestion prediction, which facilitates better commuter experiences and more intelligent traffic management. The efficiency of machine learning models for traffic congestion forecasting was investigated in this study, with particular attention paid to Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), Random Forest (RF), and Linear Regression (LR) networks. The results indicated that while classic models such as Linear Regression give a foundation for traffic forecasting, they struggle with non-linear correlations in traffic data. Random Forest performed poorly in sequential time-series forecasting, but it increased prediction accuracy by capturing intricate relationships.

However, because LSTM networks can learn from historical traffic patterns and generate precise forecasts based on sequential dependencies, they turned out to be the most successful model.

According to the research's findings, deep learning methods can greatly improve traffic forecast models' accuracy, facilitating improved urban mobility planning decision-making. Road safety can be increased, traffic flow can be optimized, and congestion can be decreased by implementing LSTM-based models in practical applications like navigation systems, smart traffic lights, and driverless cars. Furthermore, prediction capabilities can be further improved by combining these models with real-time data from traffic cameras, GPS monitoring, and Internet of Things devices.

Even though the study produced encouraging results, there were a number of issues that need to be resolved in subsequent research. These include the necessity for scalability across many cities and areas, significant computing costs, and problems with data quality.



V. REFERENCES

1. Y. Lv, Y. Duan, W. Kang, Z. Li, and F. Wang, "Traffic flow prediction with big data: A deep learning approach," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 865-873, Apr. 2015.
2. A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and G. Hinton, "ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 25, 2012.
3. X. Ma, Z. Tao, Y. Wang, H. Yu, and Y. Wang, "Long short-term memory neural network for traffic speed prediction using remote microwave sensor data," *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, vol. 54, pp. 187-197, 2015.
4. S. Hochreiter and J. Schmidhuber, "Long short-term memory," *Neural Computation*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 1735-1780, 1997.
5. M. Abadi et al., "TensorFlow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous systems," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.04467*, 2016.
6. W. Zhang, Y. Dai, and Y. Wang, "Traffic congestion prediction based on LSTM neural network," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 166, pp. 198-208, 2020.
7. M. Zhao, H. Jin, and J. Xu, "A deep learning framework for real-time traffic prediction using LSTM," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 160098-160107, 2019.
8. J. Zhang, Y. Zheng, and D. Qi, "Deep learning for citywide traffic prediction: A survey," *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 1514-1532, Aug. 2018.
9. H. Wang, Z. Chen, and L. Zhu, "An ensemble learning approach for traffic prediction with deep learning and traditional models," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 89632-89644, 2020.
10. N. Nair and S. Bhaskaran, "A comparative analysis of machine learning algorithms for traffic prediction," *International Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems Research*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 376-387, 2021.
11. A. Shah, M. Kumar, and R. Patil, "Traffic prediction using deep learning: A case study in metropolitan cities," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 186, pp. 102-110, 2021.
12. B. Li, X. Zhang, and L. Hu, "Real-time traffic flow prediction using hybrid deep learning models," *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, vol. 2021, Article ID 9873421, 2021.
13. S. Wu, J. Wang, and X. Zhang, "Deep learning-based traffic prediction and analysis," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 3437-3448, 2021.
14. H. Liu, Y. Li, and X. Feng, "Short-term traffic prediction based on hybrid deep learning models," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 3873-3883, Jun. 2021.
15. M. Chen, Y. Yang, and C. Wang, "A survey on deep learning-based traffic forecasting techniques," *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 212-228, 2022.

Authors





experience in academic field. She has published 1 book, 15 International Journal papers and 26 papers in National and International Conferences. Her areas of interest include Big Data, Artificial Intelligence and Data Mining.



Diya Shereef M k is a final-year Bachelor of Science student in Computer Science at Srikrishna Arts and Science College. She is passionate about technology, software development, and emerging trends in computing. Her academic journey has equipped her with strong programming, problem-solving, and analytical skills. She has a keen interest in research, particularly in areas such as artificial intelligence, data science, and cybersecurity. She is dedicated to continuous learning and innovation, aspiring to contribute to the advancement of technology through research and development.



Shanmugapriya Velmurugan received her Ph.D Degree from Periyar University, Salem in the year 2020. She has received her M.Phil Degree from Periyar University, Salem in the year 2007. She has received her M.C.A Degree from Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai in the year 2002. She is working as Assistant Professor, Department of Computer Science, Sri Krishna Arts and Science College Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India She has 20 years of



Kavya P is a final-year BSc Computer Science student at Srikrishna Arts and Science College with a deep interest in software development and computational technologies. Her academic background has provided her with a strong foundation in coding, logical reasoning, and system analysis. She is particularly interested in artificial intelligence, data science, and cybersecurity, aiming to apply her knowledge in practical and impactful ways. She is eager to explore new technological advancements and contribute to innovative solutions in the field.